



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/731,695

12/09/2003

Michael B. McAvoy

030048124US

3269

64066

7590

03/24/2008

PERKINS COIE, LLP

P.O. BOX 1247

PATENT - SEA

SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247

EXAMINER

MANCHO, RONNIE M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3663

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/24/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/731,695	Applicant(s) MCAVOY, MICHAEL B.	
	Examiner RONNIE MANCHO	Art Unit 3663	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) RONNIE MANCHO. (3)_____.

(2) STEPHEN ARNETT. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 18 March 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: none.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative called to inquire if the statement in italics made on page nine of the examiner answer suggested that the claims will be allowable if applicant amends the claims to read "selectively polling". Applicant's representative was advised that the examiner was making a response to arguments raised by the applicant in the appeal brief and that the response was not a suggestion of allowable subject matter.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/R. M./

Examiner, Art Unit 3663

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required